

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,217	12/18/2001	Igor Liokumovich	42P12564	5026
59796 7590 04/10/2007 INTEL CORPORATION c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC			EXAMINER	
			GUILL, RUSSELL L	
P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2123	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/025,217	LIOKUMOVICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Russ Guill	2123				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No	ovember 2006	•				
,	action is non-final.					
<i>,</i>	,—					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte quayio, 1000 C.B. 11, K	55 5.5. 216.				
Disposition of Claims	•					
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5 and 7-28</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5 and 7-28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement					
Application Papers						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 December 2001 is/a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
AMask						
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 Interdiction Com	(/DTO 413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is in response to an Amendment filed July 7, 2006. No claims were cancelled. Claims 1, 3 5 and 7 28 are pending. Claims 1, 3 5 and 7 28 have been examined. Claims 1, 3 5 and 7 28 have been rejected. Claims 1, 3 5 and 7 28 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 2. The Examiner would like to thank the Applicant for the well-presented response, which was useful in the examination process. The Examiner appreciates the effort to perform a thorough analysis, and make appropriate arguments and amendments.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Regarding claims 1, 3 5 and 7 28 rejected under 35 USC § 101:
 - a. Applicant's amendments to the claims overcome the rejections. The claims now appear to have a processor to realize the functionality of the software, and the claims appear to provide a tangible result. However, the amendments to claims 1, 9, 16 and 23 appear to introduce new issues such that the claims are now rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,217

Art Unit: 2123

a. Claims 1, 3 – 5 and 7 – 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Page 3

- i. Regarding claim 1, the claim recites in lines 13 14, "wherein results of simulating the machine instructions are provided to the user". Although machine instructions are recited in the preamble, the machine instructions are not clearly simulated in the limitations. The claim appears to omit the step of simulating the machine instructions. Correction or amendment is required.
- ii. Regarding claim 9, the claim recites in line 10, "providing results of simulating machine instructions to the user". Although simulating machine instructions is recited in the preamble, the machine instructions are not clearly simulated in the limitations. The claim appears to omit the step of simulating machine instructions. Correction or amendment is required.
- iii. Regarding claim 16, the claim recites in line 10, "provide results of simulating machine instructions to the user". Although simulating machine instructions is recited in the preamble, the machine instructions are not clearly simulated in the limitations. The claim appears to omit the step of simulating machine instructions. Correction or amendment is required.
- b. Claims 23 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - i. Regarding claim 23, the claim recites in line 15, "wherein results of the simulation are provided to a user". Although a simulator appears to

Application/Control Number: 10/025,217

Art Unit: 2123

execute code for an operating system, the preamble recites simulating an instruction set architecture, so the tangible result of providing results to a user does not appear to support the preamble. It is unclear how the tangible result supports the intended use of the preamble. Correction or amendment is required.

c. Claims 3 - 5, 7 - 8, 10 - 15, 17 - 22 and 24 - 28 are rejected based on their dependency on their respective intermediate and parent claims which are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1, 3 5, 7 8 and 23 28 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 6. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- 7. A statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter was provided in the Office Action dated August 10, 2006.

Art Unit: 2123

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2123

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russ Guill whose telephone number is 571-272-7955. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday 9:30 AM – 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-3753. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC2100 Group Receptionist: 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RG

Russ Guill Examiner

Art Unit 2123

PAUL RODRIGUEZ
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100